# Interface to grasp misunderstandings for teachers in Quiz

Taisuke Nakamura<sup>1</sup>, Haruhiko Takase<sup>1</sup>, Hiroharu Kawanaka<sup>1</sup> and and Shinji Tsuruoka<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Engineering, Mie university, Tsu, Mie, Japan <sup>2</sup> Graduate School of Regional Innovation Studies, Mie university, Tsu, Mie, Japan

nakamura@ip.elec.mie-u.ac.jp

Abstract- In this paper, we discuss the interface of elearning systems that make teachers to grasp students' misunderstandings quickly in quizzes. Teachers need to grasp students' misunderstandings to make their lectures effective. One of effective method for it is a quiz, which means a simple paper test. Though it is effective, it is troublesome for teachers to distribute answer sheet, collect them, read them, and so on. We aim to develop an e-learning system to reduce the load of teachers in the quiz. Especially, we aim to reduce the load to read answers. There are many answer types of quizzes: multiple choice, descriptive answer, and so on. We focus on a short descriptive answer style. It is effective to grasp misunderstandings, since it force students to answer by their own words. On the other hand, it is hard for teachers to read all answers quickly, since descriptive answers have a various expression and format. In our previous work, we proposed the basic system to reduce the load. In this paper, we enhance the system. The previous system provides answer even before their submission, and teachers can find clues of misunderstandings quickly. Though the previous system is effective, it brings teachers heavy loads that are caused by frequent update of the screen. The new system provides two views: a list of all answers including incomplete ones, and a partial list of answers that have similar words. Teachers can point a focused answer or keyword easily with proposed interface, and get a list of similar answers. It keeps the effectiveness of the previous system, and reduces the heavy load by the partial list. As a result, teachers can improve their lectures even in their lectures.

*Keywords*— e-Learning, descriptive answer style quiz, text mining

### I. INTRODUCTION

To make classes effective, teachers should grasp a status of each student. Here, status means understanding, thinking, interest, and so on. According to the status, they improve their classes: comment on misunderstandings, give a supplementary explanation, adjust progress or difficulty of the class work, and so on. To make the improvement effective, it should be done quickly.

Quizzes are one of effective methods to grasp the status, especially students' misunderstandings. Though effectiveness of quizzes, they are troublesome. Teachers often ask their students a question. Generally, only a few students answer the question by an oral or on a blackboard. Teachers grasp only a few misunderstandings. It is hard to make all students to answer.

For quick improvements, it is necessary to reduce the interval from setting a question to improve the class. Computers can do it for quizzes in a multiple-choice answer style. They can count each choice and show the summary to teachers in a moment. Teachers can improve their classes immediately after submission of all answers. Though teachers are supported by the system, they should carefully make a question and selections to grasp misunderstandings correctly. Hence, teachers do not quiz easily.

On the other hand, Descriptive answer style forces students to answer their own words. Answers would contain enough information to grasp misunderstandings with less preparation. Teachers need to prepare only a question. We deal with descriptive answer style. Especially, we focus on a short descriptive style, whose answer consists of one or two sentences per each answer. Though less preparation, grasping descriptive answers is a heavy load for teachers. Despite of the heavy load, it is preferable for quizzes. It forces students to answer with their own words. Hence, teachers would get more clues to grasp students' understandings. In addition, students can submit answers in a short time. It shortens the interruption of the class by quizzes.

Many researchers try to analyze descriptive answers by computers [1, 2]. Most of them aim to support teachers to scoring long descriptive answers like reports or essays. Ishioka developed the automated Japanese essay scoring system called Jess [1]. The system evaluates essays according to their rhetoric, organization, and content. Tsubakimoto developed the system that assists rating for many term papers by using information visualization techniques [2]. Though these systems are useful for scoring answers, they would be unsuitable for quizzes in the short descriptive answer style. Teachers use quizzes to grasp misunderstandings of students from short descriptive answers. Contrary, these systems focus on the scoring of long text.

In this paper, we propose an interface of an e-learning system that helps teachers to grasp typical misunderstandings from descriptive answers quickly. In our previous paper [3], we developed the e-learning system based on the idea: teachers can grasp misunderstandings even from incomplete answers. Teachers can grasp answers quickly with the system. In this paper, we aim to reduce the load to grasping by expanding the system. First, we try out the system, and analyze its drawbacks. Then, we propose an expansion.

This paper consists of 4 sections. It the section 2, we introduce our previous method. In the section 3, we expand our previous system. Finally, we conclude in the section 4.

### II. PREVIOUS SYSTEM

In this section, we describe our previous e-Learning system. The system supports teachers in a large class to grasp students' misunderstandings quickly [3].

Though exercises in descriptive answer style are effective to grasping students' misunderstandings, they are hard to read in a large class. Teachers find each misunderstanding mostly by corresponding characteristic phrases. Actually, expert teachers often foresee the future mistake even from an incomplete answer.

The previous system is based on the idea: teachers can grasp misunderstandings even from incomplete answers. It provides answers to teachers even before their submissions. It would hasten the phase for grasping misunderstandings. It provides raw answers, since summarizing answers may hide useful clues from teachers.

We developed the system as a web server. Students answer questions on web browsers that are provided with AJAX (Asynchronous JavaScript + XML) techniques. Teachers read answers on a special browser that is developed with JAVA language. These browsers communicate with the web server to get or provide answers.

The student's interface is shown in Fig. 1. Its view looks like conventional systems (i.e. moodle [4]) that provide a question, a text box to input an answer, and a button to submit. Students read the question, answer it in the text box, and push the submit button. The difference from conventional systems is its internal action. The interface sends the answer every a few seconds regardless of its submission.



Fig. 1 Student's interface

The teacher's interface is shown in Fig. 2. Its view provides a table of answers, which include incomplete ones. Contrary, conventional systems provide only submitted answers. Each row consists of student ID, a mark submitted/not submitted, and raw answers. The table is updated every a few seconds.



Student ID Mark

Fig. 2 Teacher's interface

Grasping misunderstandings consists of two phases: find clues related to a misunderstandings and (roughly) counting the number of answers that include the clues. The previous system supports only to find clues. By the test operation, we find that it is hard to grasp the number of answers. Users say, "Frequent update of the teacher's view confuses me." We aim to solve the problem.

## III. PROPOSAL

#### A. Basic Idea

The problem of the proposed system is not frequent update itself, but too many changes on the screen. Teachers should look many answers to count the number of answers that have the same clues. If all answers frequently increase their words, teachers easily lose reading answers by looking separate answers, especially by scrolling the view. Teachers would read same answers again and again, and their loads are increased. It lessens the effect of the system.

We propose the system that shows similar answers nearby. Teachers can seek the clues with a little eye movement. They would not lose reading answers. However, rearranging answers should not be done in the original view. Changing original view would cause the problem again, since teachers memorize answers by their location. In addition, the system emphasizes keywords according to teachers' requests. In many cases, clues for misunderstandings are particular phrases. emphasizing keywords would help teachers to find these phrases.

We improve the system by adding partial view to the teacher's interface. On the original view (Fig. 2), teachers find a misunderstanding answer quickly, and select the answer. Then, the system provides a new view that contains only similar answers to the selected one. Teachers easily find answers that have same clues to the selected one with less eye movement than the previous system. By providing the number of answers in the new view, teachers can easily grasp the number of students who have the misunderstanding. Since the original view is kept, teachers can continue to find another misunderstanding easily.

The similarity of two answers is measured by the number of common words especially nouns. It is a basic method in the information retrieval techniques. Since answers are not complete, it is hard for the system to analyze them in detail.

## B. Interface of the proposed system

We explain the interface of the proposed system.

First, the system provides a base view, which shows all answers including unfinished ones. Fig. 3 shows a table of answers, each column shows selection status, student ID, submitted/not submitted mark, the number of characters, and answer, respectively starting from the left. It is the same action to the previous system. The view may have a scroll bar to handle many answers.

Second, a teacher finds an answer with a clue of a misunderstanding, and selects it by clicking on it.

Third, the system provides a partial view, which shows only similar answers to the selected one. The partial view consists of a table of answers, an information area, and a refresh button (Fig. 4). To avid scrolling, similar answers are selected to fit in one screen. The view provides similar answers in the descending order of the similarity in the same manner to the base view. The fourth column shows similarity, and others are same to the basic view. For convenience, common words are emphasized. The selected answer is shown on the first row. The refresh button is used to reselect answers. The close button is used to close the view. The new view is shown in an independent window to the base view.

Fourth, the system shade answers in partial views on the base view. It makes them easy to distinguish others. Fig. 5 shows the base view with shaded answers: student ID is 1010, 1012 and so on.

Teachers repeat the selection, or close a partial view as their needs. They can emphasize keywords by using their mouse pointer to indicate a word on the base/partial view Here, answers increase their words with time. It changes similarity of answers. To avid conflict, the system keeps answers in each partial view, and informs answers to remove from the partial view, or answers to add to another view.

The new teacher's interface would support teachers to find clues of misunderstandings by a base view. Teachers count the number of answers with a clue on a partial view.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we aim to develop an e-learning system that helps teachers to grasp typical misunderstandings in quizzes. Especially, we focus on a short descriptive answer style.

We improve the previous system by reducing teachers' burden that is frequent update of the screen. The burden causes miss-recognition of the number of the same mistakes. The proposed system provides two views: a list of all answers, and a partial list of answers that have similar words. The former keeps the effectiveness of the previous system, bringing teachers' awareness for typical misunderstandings. The latter reduces the heavy load by arranging similar answers nearby. Teachers would grasp typical misunderstandings quickly. On both views, they can indicate keyword to emphasize by using mouse pointer. Since clues of misunderstandings would be a characteristic phrase, it would help teachers to find clues.

### References

- [1] T. Ishioka, M. Kameda, Automated Japanese Essay Scoring System based on Articles Written by Experts, Proc. of the 21st international Conference on Computational Linguistics and 44th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pp.233–240(2006)
- [2] M. Tsubakimoto, M. Yanagisawa, K. Akahori, The Development and Evaluation of the Term Paper Grading Assistance Map Visualizing Contents and its Scores, Supporting Learning Flow through Integrative Technologies – Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Application, Vol.162, pp.197–204(2007)
- [3] T. Imai, H. Takase, N. Morita, et al., Exercise supporting system to bring teacher's awareness, Proc. of the 19th Intelligent System Symposium and The 1st International Workshop on Aware Computing, pp. 600–603(2009)
- [4] Moodle.org: open-source community-based tools for learning at http://moodle.org

0.0	0	Exercise Helper							
Star	t) (Paus	se)		Normal + 🗘	Current Time: 600 (0~sec) Update				
Answe	r Table				•				
	ID	Stat	Length		Answer				
	1002	•	62	械語は、二進数で入力して命令してそ	れをソフトウェアで直接実行するものなので、人間はそれを見てもわからないものであるのです。				
	1003		76	械語は、CPUが直接実行することが可	能なプログラムのことである。CPUに対する命令が二進数を用いて記述されており、人間が直接読録				
	1004		103	械語は、CPUが直接実行可能な最も低	級なプログラミング言語であり、人間が使用する場合は二進数や十六進数が用いられる。どのよう:				
	1005		63	械語は、マシン語ともいいcpuが理解	」直接実行できる言語の総称である。人間が利用する際には二進数、十六進数を用いて表現する。				
	1006	未	1						
	1007	未	14	械語は、2進数で表現された					
	1008	•	78	械語は、コンピュータが直接実行や <mark>理</mark>	解が可能な言語であり、「0」、「1」の二進数によって表わされる。コンピュータは機械語の命				
	1009		114	械語は、コンピュータが理解できる言	語である。また、機械語は0と1の二文字をつかって表わす二進法で表現され、その二文字の羅列				
	1010	未	88	械語は、人間には理解することができ	ない低級言語であり、二進数であるがために、数字0.1の並びで、機械は機械語を読み取って命				
	1011		63	械語は、プログラミング言語のひとつ	である。機械語は、CPUやMPUが命令を直接実行できるように、二進数を用いて書かれている 🌙				
	1012		84	械語は、人間が作成した文書をコンピ	ューターが理解しやすいようにするために用いられる言語のことで、人間の命令に対して直接実行				

Fig. 4 Teacher's view without any operation

0.0	0	Exercise Helper				
				(Refresh) (Close		
Answer	Table (F	Partial	)			
	ID	Stat	Sim.	Answer		
	1012	未	35	機械語は、人間が作成した文書をコンピューターが理解しやすいようにするために用いられる言語のことで、人間の命令に対して直接実行		
	1076	未	22	機械語は、人間が読んで理解することのできる高級言語とはちがい、中央演算装置であるCPUに命令、直接実行をすることのできる二進数		
	1010	未	21	僕椀語は、人間には理解することができない低級言語であり、数字やアルファベットの並びで機械は機械語を読み取り命令をだすことがで		
	1031	未	21	僕板語とは、CPUが直接理解し直接実行することのできる言語のことで二進数や十六進数で表わされる。CPUに命令する言語の中では		
	1062		21	機械語は、人間は理解することができない言語である。機械語は、二進数をもちいりコンピュウータが理解する言語である。直接実行を命		
	1078	未	21	<b>機械語は、マシン語ともいわれもっとも低水準の言語である。これは、コンピュータに命令を直接実行することができる言語である。しか</b>		

Fig. 5 Teacher's view for similar answers

000			Exercise Helper					
Start	t) (Paus	ie)		Normal : Current Time: 374 (0~sec) Update				
Answe	r Table				1			
	ID	Stat	Length	Answer				
	1002	未	59	機械語は、二進数で入力して命令してそれをソフトウェアで直接実行するものなので、人間はそれを見てもわからないものであり。	1			
	1003	14	76	機械語は、CPUが直接実行することが可能なプログラムのことである。CPUに対する命令が二進数を用いて記述されており、人間が直接読得				
	1004	未	59	機械語は、CPUが直接実行可能な最も低級なプログラミング言語であり、人間が使用する場合は二進数や十六進数が用いられる。				
	1005	未	46	機械語は、マシン語ともいいcpuが理解し直接実行できる言語の総称である。人間が利用する際には				
	1006	未	1					
	1007	未	12	機械語は、コンピューター				
	1008	未	44	機械語は、コンピュータが直接実行や理解が可能な言語であり、「0」、「1」のにしんすうによ				
	1009	未	57	機械語は、コンピュータが理解できる言語である。また、機械語は0と1の二文字をつかって表わす二進法で表現されている。				
◄	1010	未	95	機械語は、人間には理解することができない低級言語であり、数字やアルファベットの並びで機械は機械語を読み取り命令をだすことがで				
	1011	未	58	機械語は、CPUやMPUが直接実行できる命令を記述したプログラミング言語のことである。機械語は二進数で書かれている。	J			
☑	1012	未	84	機械語は、人間が作成した文書をコンピューターが理解しやすいようにするために用いられる言語のことで、人間の命令に対して直接実行				

Fig. 6 Teacher's view after extraction of similar answers