

# Cohomologies of Lie Algebras of Vector Fields with Coefficients in Adjoint Representations Foliated Case

By

Yukihiro KANIE\*

## Introduction

Let  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  be a foliated manifold. We have a natural Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$  of vector fields locally preserving the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , and its ideal  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  of vector fields tangent to leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Here we are interested in the first cohomologies of  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  with coefficients in their adjoint representations. This work is in a series of F. Takens' work [7] and the author's [3], [4]. In this paper, we use the latter for the general reference.

Our main result is

- Main Theorem** (i)  $H^1(\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})) = 0$ .  
(ii)  $H^1(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ .

If  $M$  is compact,  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$  is identical with the Lie algebra of vector fields preserving  $\mathcal{F}$ . There are compact foliated manifolds  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $H^1(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}))$  are of dimension  $r$  for any  $r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq \infty$ ).

The content of this paper is arranged as follows. In §1, we introduce Lie algebras  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  for a standard foliation on a euclidean space, and study their structures. In §2, we investigate properties of derivations of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ , and in §3, we prove Main Theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  (flat case). In §4, we give the proof of Main Theorem and

---

Communicated by N. Shimada, June 1, 1977.

\* Department of Mathematics, Mie University, Kamihama-cho, Tsu 514, Japan.

some examples.

All manifolds, foliations, vector fields, etc. are assumed to be of  $C^\infty$ -class, throughout this paper.

## § 1. Lie Algebras $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{T}$

**1.1. Notations and Definitions.** Fix a coordinate system  $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q$  in a  $(p+q)$ -dimensional euclidean space  $V = \mathbf{R}^{p+q}$ . Denote  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  by  $\partial_i (i=1, \dots, p)$ , and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}$  by  $\partial_\alpha (\alpha=1, \dots, q)$ . Use Latin indices  $i, j, k, \dots$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ , and Greek indices  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$  for  $y_1, \dots, y_q$ , otherwise stated. Put

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i ; f_i(x, y) \text{ are } C^\infty\text{-functions of } x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}' = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(y) \partial_\alpha ; g_\alpha(y) \text{ are } C^\infty\text{-functions of } y_1, \dots, y_q \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{L}' \quad (\text{as vector spaces}).$$

Then they are subalgebras of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of all vector fields on  $V$ , and  $\mathcal{T}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a standard codimension- $q$  foliation, defined by parallel  $p$ -planes:  $y_1 = \text{constant}, \dots, y_q = \text{constant}$ , in  $V$ . Any vector field  $X$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  is tangent to leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $X$  is called *leaf-tangent*. Let  $\phi_t$  be the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms generated by  $Y \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $\phi_t$  transforms every leaf to some leaf for each  $t$ , and  $Y$  is called *foliation preserving*.

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_x$  or  $\mathcal{T}_y$ , the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{T}$  of all vector fields in  $\mathcal{T}$  whose coefficient functions depend only on  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ , or  $y_1, \dots, y_q$ , respectively.

Here we summarize the facts which will be applied later.

**Lemma 1.1.** (i) Let  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If  $[\partial_i, X] = 0$  for all  $i=1, \dots, p$ , then  $X$  is independent of the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ .

(ii)  $[\mathcal{T}_x, \mathcal{L}'] = 0$ , and  $[\mathcal{T}_x, \mathcal{L}] \subset \mathcal{T}$ .

(iii) Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $[\partial_i, X] \in \mathcal{L}'$  for all  $i$ , then  $X$  is independent of the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ .

- (iv) Let  $X \in \mathcal{T}_x$ . Then  $[X, I] = X$ , where  $I = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \partial_i \in \mathcal{T}_x$ .
- (v) Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}'$ . If  $[X, y_\alpha \partial_i] = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\alpha$ , then  $X = 0$ .

This can be proved by elementary calculations.

**1.2. Vector Fields with Polynomial Coefficients.** The vector field  $X = \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(x, y) \partial_\alpha$  on  $V$  is said to be with polynomial coefficients, if all  $f_i(x, y)$  and  $g_\alpha(x, y)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p, \alpha = 1, \dots, q$ ) are polynomials. Such vector fields form a Lie subalgebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Put  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}' = \mathcal{L}' \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Put

$$\mathcal{T}_{n,m} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}; f_i(x, y) \text{ are homogeneous polynomials of degree } n+1 \text{ in } x_1, \dots, x_p, \text{ and of degree } m+1 \text{ in } y_1, \dots, y_q \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{T}} &= \sum_{n, m \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n,m}; \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \supset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x &= \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cap \mathcal{T}_x = \sum_{n \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n,-1}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_y &= \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cap \mathcal{T}_y = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{-1,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have easily

**Lemma 1.2.** (cf. [4]) Let  $I$  be defined in Lemma 1.1 (iv), then  $\mathcal{T}_{n,-1} = \{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x; [I, X] = nX\}$ .

Put  $\mathcal{L}'_m = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(y) \partial_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'; g_\alpha(y) \text{ are homogeneous of degree } m+1 \right\}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}' = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{L}'_m$ , and we have

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $J = \sum_{\alpha=1}^q y_\alpha \partial_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}'$ , then  $\mathcal{L}'_m = \{Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'; [J, Y] = mY\}$ .

**1.3. Proposition 1.4.** If a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  satisfies  $j^3(X)(0) = 0$ , then there exist a finite number of vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{2r} \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^r [X_i, X_{i+r}] \quad \text{and} \quad j^1(X_i)(0) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2r).$$

*Proof.* Clearly it is enough to show the assertion for the case

$$X = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_p^{i_p} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_q^{j_q} f(x, y) \partial_i$$

for  $\sum_{k=1}^p i_k + \sum_{a=1}^q j_a \geq 4$ . Put  $h(x, y) = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_p^{i_p} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_q^{j_q}$ .

*Case 1.* The case where  $i_k \geq 2$  for some  $k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_k^2 \partial_k, x_k^{-1} X] - [x_k^3 \partial_k, x_k^{-2} X] \\ &= (i_k - 1 - 2\delta_{ik}) X - x_k h(x, y) (\partial_k f(x, y)) \partial_i \\ &\quad - (i_k - 2 - 3\delta_{ik}) X + x_k h(x, y) (\partial_k f(x, y)) \partial_i \\ &= (1 + \delta_{ik}) X. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\delta_{ik}$  is Kronecker's delta, so  $(1 + \delta_{ik}) \geq 1 > 0$ . And  $j^1(x_k^{-2} X)(0) = 0$  is obvious.

In the following, we can assume that  $i_k \leq 1$  for all  $k$ .

*Case 2.* The case where  $\sum_k i_k \geq 2$ . We can assume  $i_1 = i_2 = 1$ . Let  $\phi$  be a coordinate transformation

$$\phi: \begin{cases} \bar{x}_1 = x_1 + x_2, & \bar{x}_2 = x_1 - x_2, \\ \bar{x}_i = x_i \ (i \geq 3), & \bar{y}_a = y_a \ (\text{all } a), \end{cases}$$

then  $\phi(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$ . So this case is reduced to Case 1.

In the following, we can assume that  $i_k = 0$  for all  $k$  except at most one  $k_0$ .

*Case 3.* The case where  $j_a \geq 2$  for some  $a$ . We get

$$[y_a^2 \partial_{k_0}, x_k y_a^{-2} X] - [y_a x_{k_0} \partial_{k_0}, y_a^{-1} X] = (1 + \delta_{ik_0}) X.$$

Obviously  $j^1(Y)(0) = 0$  for all vector fields  $Y$  in the left hand.

*Case 4.* The case where  $j_a \leq 1$  for all  $a$ . Since we have  $\sum_{a=1}^q j_a \geq 4 - 1 = 3$ , so this case is also reduced to Case 3, similarly as Case 2.

Q. E. D.

**Proposition 1.5.** If a vector field  $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$  satisfies  $j^3(Y)(0) = 0$ , then there exist a finite number of vector fields  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{2r} \in \mathcal{L}'$  such that

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^r [Y_i, Y_{i+r}] \quad \text{and} \quad j^1(Y_i)(0) = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, 2r).$$

*Proof.* Similarly as in Cases 1 and 2 in the proof of the above proposition. Q. E. D.

## § 2. Derivations of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ (I)

**2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{ex}(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{L})$  be the space of derivations of  $\mathcal{T}$  with values in  $\mathcal{L}$ . And let  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$  or  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the derivation algebra of  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $\mathcal{T}$  respectively. Remember that a derivation  $D$  satisfies the property  $D[X, Y] = [D(X), Y] + [X, D(Y)]$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** If a derivation  $D$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$  for  $n+m \leq -1$ , then  $D$  is zero on  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ .

*Proof.* *Step 1.* To show that  $D$  is zero on  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x$ . We prove this by the induction on  $n$  for the decomposition  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x = \sum_{n \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n,-1}$ . When  $n$  is non-positive, the assertion holds by the assumption. Assume that  $D$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_{k,-1} (k \leq n-1)$ . Let  $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{n,-1} (n \geq 1)$ , and define the vector fields  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$  as  $D(Z) = X + Y$ .

Apply  $D$  to  $[\partial_i, Z] \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1,-1} (1 \leq i \leq p)$ , then we get  $X \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ , by Lemma 1.1(i) and the hypothesis of the induction.

We get  $[I, Z] = nZ$ , by Lemma 1.2. Apply  $D$  to the both sides of this equality, then by Lemma 1.1 (iv), we get

$$-X = nX + nY,$$

hence  $X = Y = 0$ , so  $D(Z) = 0$ .

*Step 2.* To show that  $D$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_{0,0}$ . Clearly it is enough to show the assertion for the case  $X = x_i y_a \partial_j \in \mathcal{T}_{0,0}$ . Apply  $D$  to

$$X = x_i y_a \partial_j = 2^{-1} [y_a \partial_i, x_i^2 \partial_j],$$

then we have  $D(X) = 0$ , because  $y_a \partial_i \in \mathcal{T}_{-1,0}$  and  $x_i^2 \partial_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x$ .

*Step 3.* To show that  $D$  is zero on  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_y$ . The proof is carried out by the induction on  $m$  for the decomposition  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_y = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{-1, m}$ . When  $m$  is non-positive, the assertion holds by the assumption. Assume that  $D$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_{-1, k} (k \leq m-1)$ . Clearly it is enough to show that  $D(Y)=0$  for the case

$$Y = y_1^{j_1} \dots y_q^{j_q} \partial_i$$

for  $\sum_a j_a = m+1$ . There is an index  $\beta$  such that  $j_\beta \geq 1$ . Apply  $D$  to

$$Y = [y_\beta^{-1} Y, y_\beta x_i \partial_i],$$

then  $D(Y)=0$ , because  $y_\beta^{-1} Y \in \mathcal{T}_{-1, m-1}$ , and  $y_\beta x_i \partial_i \in \mathcal{T}_{0, 0}$ .

*Last Step.* Decompose  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  as  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{n \geq -1} (\sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n, m})$ . We prove the assertion of the proposition by the induction on  $n$ . The assertion for  $n=-1$  holds by Step 3. Assume that  $D$  is zero on  $\sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n, m} (n \leq n_0-1)$ . It is enough to show that  $D(X)=0$  for the case

$$X = x_1^{i_1} \dots x_p^{i_p} f(y) \partial_k$$

for  $\sum_j i_j = n_0+1$ , and some polynomial  $f(y)$  of  $y_1, \dots, y_q$ . Apply  $D$  to the equality

$$X = \begin{cases} (i_k+1)^{-1} [x_k^{-i_k} X, x_k^{i_k+1} \partial_k] & \text{if } i_k > 0, \\ [x_{k_0}^{-1} X, x_{k_0} x_k \partial_k] & \text{if } i_k = 0, \text{ and } i_{k_0} > 0 \text{ for some } k_0, \end{cases}$$

we get  $D(X)=0$ , because  $x_k^{-i_k} X, x_{k_0}^{-1} X \in \sum_{n \leq n_0-1} (\sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{n, m})$ , and  $x_k^{i_k+1} \partial_k, x_{k_0} x_k \partial_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_x$ . Q. E. D.

**Corollary 2.2.** *The derivation  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}$ , under the same assumption as Proposition 2.1.*

*Proof.* It follows from Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 in [4], and Proposition 1.4. Q. E. D.

**2.2. Proposition 2.3.** *If a derivation  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $D$  is zero on  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ .*

*Proof. Step 1.* To show that  $D(\partial_\alpha) = 0$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, q$ ). Apply  $D$  to  $[\partial_i, \partial_\alpha] = [I, \partial_\alpha] = 0$ , then we get  $D(\partial_\alpha) \in \mathcal{L}'$ , by Lemma 1.1 (i), (iv).

Define the functions  $g_\alpha^\beta(y)$  as  $D(\partial_\alpha) = \sum_\beta g_\alpha^\beta(y) \partial_\beta \in \mathcal{L}'$ . Apply  $D$  to  $\partial_{\alpha_i} \partial_i = [\partial_\alpha, y_i \partial_i]$ , then we get

$$0 = [\sum_\beta g_\alpha^\beta(y) \partial_\beta, y_i \partial_i] = g_\alpha^i(y) \partial_i,$$

hence  $g_\alpha^i(y) = 0$ , so  $D(\partial_\alpha) = 0$ .

*Step 2.* To show that  $D(J) = 0$ , where  $J = \sum_{\alpha=1}^q y_\alpha \partial_\alpha$ . Apply  $D$  to  $[\partial_i, J] = [I, J] = 0$ , then we get  $D(J) \in \mathcal{L}'$ , by Lemma 1.1 (i), (iv).

Apply  $D$  to  $[J, y_\alpha \partial_i] = y_\alpha \partial_i \in \mathcal{T}$ , then we have  $D(J) = 0$ , by Lemma 1.1 (v).

*Last Step.* Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$  is decomposed as  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}' = \sum_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{L}'_m$  (cf. § 1.2), then by Lemma 1.3, this step is carried out similarly as Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Q. E. D.

**Corollary 2.4.** *If a derivation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$  for  $n + m \leq -1$ , then  $D$  is zero on  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a derivation of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $D$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$  for  $n + m \leq -1$ . Let  $D'$  be the restriction of  $D$  to  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then by Corollary 2.2,  $D'$  is zero on  $\mathcal{T}$ , hence by Proposition 2.3,  $D$  is zero on  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ . The assertion follows from Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 in [4] and Proposition 1.5. Q. E. D.

### § 3. Derivations of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ (II)

**3.1. Determination of  $\mathcal{D}$ .** Let  $Z$  be a vector field on  $V$ . We define  $\text{ad}Z$  as  $\text{ad}Z(X) = [Z, X]$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *The map:  $Z \longrightarrow \text{ad}Z|_{\mathcal{T}}$ , or  $Z \longrightarrow \text{ad}Z|_{\mathcal{L}}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  into  $\mathcal{D}$  or  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$  respectively is an into isomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show the injectivity. Let  $Z \in \mathcal{L}$ . Assume that  $\text{ad}Z(\mathcal{T})=0$ . By Lemma 1.1 (i), we get the vector fields  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ , and  $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$  such that  $Z=X+Y$ . Then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iv), we have  $X=[Z, I]=0$ , whence  $Y=0$ , by Lemma 1.1 (v).

Q. E. D.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then there exists a unique vector field  $W$  on  $V$  such that  $D=\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{T}}$ . Moreover,  $W$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

The proof of this theorem will be given in § 3. 3.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}$  or  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Then there exists a unique vector field  $W \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $D=\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{T}}$  or  $=\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Moreover,  $W$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious for the case  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}$ . Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$ . The restriction of  $D$  to  $\mathcal{T}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then the assertion follows from Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 2.4.

Q. E. D.

**Theorem 3.4.** (i) *All derivations of  $\mathcal{L}$  are inner, that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}} = \text{ad } \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$ . Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{L})=0.$$

(ii) *The derivation algebra of  $\mathcal{T}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}} = \{\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{T}}; W \in \mathcal{L}\} \cong \mathcal{L}$ . Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{T}) \cong \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{L}'.$$

*In particular, the space  $H^1(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{T})$  is of infinite dimension.*

*Proof.* (ii) By Corollary 3.3, we have  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \{\text{ad}W|_{\mathcal{T}}; W \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . The converse inclusion is obvious, because  $\mathcal{T}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{L}$ . For the latter half, remember that  $H^1(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{T}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}/\text{ad } \mathcal{T}$  (see § 1 in [3]).

Q. E. D.

**3.2.** To prove Theorem 3.2, we prepare the following four



lemmata.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then there exists a vector field  $W_1 \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $D(\partial_i) \equiv [W_1, \partial_i] \pmod{\mathcal{L}'}$  for  $i=1, \dots, p$ .*

*Proof.* Define the functions  $f_i^j(x, y)$ , and the vector fields  $Y_i \in \mathcal{L}'$ , as

$$D(\partial_i) = \sum_{j=1}^p f_i^j(x, y) \partial_j + Y_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq p).$$

Apply  $D$  to the both sides of  $[\partial_i, \partial_k] = 0$ , then we have, by Lemma 1.1 (ii),

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \{\partial_i(f_k^j(x, y)) - \partial_k(f_i^j(x, y))\} \partial_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, k \leq p),$$

and so

$$\partial_i(f_k^j(x, y)) = \partial_k(f_i^j(x, y)) \quad (1 \leq i, j, k \leq p).$$

Therefore, there are unique functions  $h^j(x, y)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq p$ ) such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_i(h^j(x, y)) = f_i^j(x, y) & (1 \leq i, j \leq p), \\ h^j(0, y) = 0 & (1 \leq j \leq p). \end{cases}$$

Put  $W_1 = - \sum_{i=1}^p h^i(x, y) \partial_i$ , then we have the assertion of the lemma.

Q. E. D.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Assume that  $D(\partial_i) \in \mathcal{L}'$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). Then*

(i)  $D(\partial_i) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ),

(ii) *there exists a vector field  $W_2 \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $[\partial_i, W_2] = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ), and  $D(I) \equiv [W_2, I] \pmod{\mathcal{L}'}$ .*

*Proof.* Define the vector fields  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{L}'$  as  $D(I) = X + Y$ . Apply  $D$  to  $[\partial_i, I] = \partial_i$ , then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iii), we have that  $D(\partial_i) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ), and  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.1 (iv), we get

$$[X, I] = X \equiv D(I) \pmod{\mathcal{L}'}$$

Therefore, we can put  $W_2 = X$ .

Q. E. D.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Assume that  $D(\partial_i) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ), and  $D(I) \in \mathcal{L}'$ . Then,  $D(x_i \partial_j) \in \mathcal{L}'$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ).

*Proof.* Define the vector fields  $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $Y_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}'$  as  $D(x_i \partial_j) = X_{ij} + Y_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ).

Apply  $D$  to  $[\partial_i, x_i \partial_j] = \delta_{ij} \partial_j$ , then by Lemma 1.1 (i), we have  $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{T}$ , ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ). Apply  $D$  to  $[I, x_i \partial_j] = 0$ , then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iv), we get  $X_{ij} = 0$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ). Q. E. D.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Assume that  $D(\partial_i) = 0$ , and that  $D(I) \in \mathcal{L}'$ ,  $D(x_i \partial_j) \in \mathcal{L}'$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ). Then,

(i)  $D(I) = 0$ ,  $D(x_i \partial_j) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ),

(ii) there exists a unique vector field  $W_3$  on  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} [W_3, \partial_i] &= [W_3, I] = [W_3, x_i \partial_j] = 0, \\ [W_3, y_\alpha \partial_i] &= D(y_\alpha \partial_i) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $W_3$  is in  $\mathcal{L}'$ .

*Proof.* Define the vector fields  $X_{ai} \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $Y_{ai} \in \mathcal{L}'$  as  $D(y_\alpha \partial_i) = X_{ai} + Y_{ai}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q$ ). Apply  $D$  to  $[\partial_i, y_\alpha \partial_i] = 0$ , then by Lemma 1.1 (i), we have  $X_{ai} \in \mathcal{T}$ , for all  $i$  and  $\alpha$ . Apply  $D$  to  $y_\alpha \partial_i = [y_\alpha \partial_i, I]$ , then by Lemma 1.1 (ii), (iv), we get that  $D(I) = 0$  and  $Y_{ai} = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\alpha$ .

Define the functions  $f_{ai}^j(y)$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q$ ) as  $X_{ai} = \sum_j f_{ai}^j(y) \partial_j$ . Apply  $D$  to  $y_\alpha \partial_i = [y_\alpha \partial_i, x_i \partial_i]$ , then we get

$$\sum_j f_{ai}^j(y) \partial_j = f_{ai}^i(y) \partial_i + [y_\alpha \partial_i, D(x_i \partial_i)],$$

hence  $D(x_i \partial_i) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ), and  $f_{ai}^j(y) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  and  $\alpha$ .

Apply  $D$  to  $y_\alpha \partial_k = [y_\alpha \partial_i, x_i \partial_k]$  for  $i \neq k$ , then we get

$$f_{ak}^k(y) \partial_k = f_{ai}^i(y) \partial_k + [y_\alpha \partial_i, D(x_i \partial_k)],$$

hence  $D(x_i \partial_k) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i, k \leq p$ ), and  $f_{ai}^i(y) = f_{ak}^k(y)$  for all  $i \neq k$  and  $\alpha$ . Denote  $f_{ai}^i(y)$  by  $f_\alpha(y)$  ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq q$ ), then  $D(y_\alpha \partial_i) = f_\alpha(y) \partial_i$ .

Let  $W_3$  be a vector field on  $V$  satisfying the equations in (ii). Since  $[W_3, \partial_i] = [W_3, I] = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ), then we get  $W_3 \in \mathcal{L}'$ , by Lemma

1. 1(i), (iv). Write  $W_3$  as  $W_3 = \sum_{\beta} h_{\beta}(y) \partial_{\beta}$ , then

$$[W_3, y_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}] = h_{\alpha}(y) \partial_{\alpha} \quad (1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha \leq q).$$

Hence,  $h_{\alpha}(y)$  must be equal to  $f_{\alpha}(y)$  for all  $\alpha$ .

Q. E. D.

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then, by Lemmata 3.5~3.8, we have a unique vector field  $W$  on  $V$  such that  $D = \text{ad} W$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{n,m}$  for  $n+m \leq -1$ . We can determine  $W$  as  $W = W_1 + W_2 + W_3$ , where  $W_i (i=1, 2, 3)$  are given in the above lemmata. Clearly  $W \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Hence, by Corollary 2.2, we get that  $D = \text{ad} W$  on  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Q. E. D.

**3.4. Remarks.** (i) Any derivation of  $\mathcal{T}$  or  $\mathcal{L}$  is continuous, because it is realized as  $\text{ad} W$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{L}$ .

(ii) Let  $V'$  be a subspace of  $V$ , spanned by  $y_1, \dots, y_q$ . Then Theorem 3.4 (i) can be rewritten as in the following form in terms of  $C^{\infty}(V')$ , which is suggestive for calculations of cohomologies of  $\mathcal{T}$  with various coefficients.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V'))$  be the derivation algebra of the associative algebra  $C^{\infty}(V')$ . Then

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{T}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V')).$$

This follows immediately from the following well-known fact.

**Lemma 3.10.** There is an natural Lie algebra isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}'$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V'))$ .

We give here its elementary proof for completeness. Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{ex}(C^{\infty}(V'))$ . Define functions  $g_{\alpha}(y)$  ( $\alpha=1, \dots, q$ ) as  $D(y_{\alpha}) = g_{\alpha}(y)$ . Let  $Y = \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}(y) \partial_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}'$ . The vector field  $Y$  operates on  $C^{\infty}(V')$  as a first-order partial differential operator, then it defines a derivation

$D_Y$  of  $C^\infty(V')$ . Easily by induction, we can show that  $D$  coincides with  $D_Y$  on the polynomial algebra  $R[y_1, \dots, y_q]$ . Hence we obtain Lemma 3. 10, because when  $j^2(g)(0)=0$ ,  $g$  is expressed as  $g(y) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} y_\alpha y_\beta g_{\alpha\beta}(y)$  with  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty(V')$ .

#### § 4. Lie Algebras $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ , $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ , and Their Derivations

**4.1. Lie Algebras Associated with Foliations.** Let  $M$  be a  $(p+q)$ -dimensional manifold and  $\mathcal{F}$  a codimension- $q$  foliation on  $M$ . Around any point of  $M$ , there is a distinguished coordinate neighborhood  $(U; x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$ , for which a plate represented as  $y_1 = \text{constant}, \dots, y_q = \text{constant}$  in  $U$  is a connected component of  $L \cap U$  for some leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  (see e. g. [6] for definitions).

A vector field  $X$  on a foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  is called *leaf-tangent*, if  $X$  is tangent to the leaf  $L$  through  $p$  for any point  $p$  of  $M$ , that is, the vector  $X_p$  belongs to the tangent space  $T_p L$  of  $L$  at  $p$ . A vector field  $X$  is called to be *locally foliation preserving* (or *l. f. p.*, in short), if  $\phi_t$  maps every plate to some plate, where  $\{\phi_t\}$  is a one-parameter group of local diffeomorphisms generated by  $X$ .

Locally for any distinguished coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$ , a leaf-tangent vector field is represented as  $\sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i$ , and a *l. f. p.* vector field is represented as  $\sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x, y) \partial_i + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q g_\alpha(y) \partial_\alpha$ , where  $f_i(x, y)$  ( $i=1, \dots, p$ ) are  $C^\infty$ -functions of  $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q$ , and  $g_\alpha(y)$  ( $\alpha=1, \dots, q$ ) are  $C^\infty$ -functions of  $y_1, \dots, y_q$ . Here we use the notations  $\partial_i$  or  $\partial_\alpha$  instead of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  or  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}$  respectively, and the convention on indices (see § 1. 1).

All *l. f. p.* vector fields on  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  form a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ , and all leaf-tangent vector fields form its ideal  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ .

If a *l. f. p.* vector field  $X$  is complete, then  $X$  is foliation preserving, that is, the diffeomorphism  $\phi_t$  maps every leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  to some leaf for each  $t$ . Similarly, if a leaf-tangent vector field  $X$  is complete,  $\phi_t$  leaves every leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  stable. Thus, when  $M$  is compact, *l. f. p.* vector fields are foliation preserving.

**4.2. Derivations.** Let  $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{D}_{ex}(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}))$  be the space of derivations of  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  with values in  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . And let  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(M, \mathcal{F})$  or  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}(M, \mathcal{F})$  be the derivation algebra of  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$  or  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  respectively. Sometimes we omit  $\mathcal{F}$  in the notations  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$ , etc.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $M$ , and  $X \in \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Assume that  $[X, Y] = 0$  on  $U$  for any  $Y \in \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  with support contained in  $U$ . Then,  $X = 0$  on  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in U$ . Take a sufficiently small neighborhood  $U'$  of  $p$  in  $U$ , and distinguished coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$  in  $U'$ . Let a vector field  $Y'$  on  $U'$  be any one of  $\partial_i$ ,  $x_j \partial_i$ , and  $y_\alpha \partial_i$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq q$ ). Since  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  is  $C^\infty(M)$ -module, there is a vector field  $Y \in \mathcal{T}(M)$  such that  $Y = Y'$  on  $U'$  and the support of  $Y$  is contained in  $U$ . Then we have  $[X, Y] = 0$  on  $U$  by the assumption. By the proof of Lemma 3.8, we have that  $X = 0$  on  $U'$ , in particular, at  $p$ . Hence we get  $X = 0$  on  $U$ . Q. E. D.

From this lemma, we get the following two lemmata, similarly as Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 in [4].

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$  or  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Then,  $D$  is local.*

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Then,  $D$  is localizable (see § 1.2 in [4] for definition).*

**4.3. Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Then, there exists a vector field  $W$  on  $M$  such that  $D = \text{ad } W|_{\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})}$ . Moreover,  $W$  is in  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ .*

*Proof.* Take a distinguished coordinate neighborhood system  $\{U_\lambda; (x_\lambda^1, \dots, x_\lambda^p, y_\lambda^1, \dots, y_\lambda^q)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  on  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Since  $D$  is localizable, the derivation  $D_{U_\lambda} \in \mathcal{D}(U_\lambda, \mathcal{F}|_{U_\lambda})$  can be defined for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  in such a way that  $D(X)|_{U_\lambda} = D_{U_\lambda}(X|_{U_\lambda})$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{T}(M)$ . Then by Theorem

3.2, there exists a unique vector field  $W_\lambda$  on  $U_\lambda$  such that  $D_{U_\lambda} = \text{ad} W_\lambda|_{\mathcal{T}(U_\lambda)}$  for any  $\lambda \in A$ . On the other hand, we have  $D_{U_\lambda}|_{U_\lambda \cap U_\mu} = D_{U_\mu}|_{U_\lambda \cap U_\mu}$ , so  $W_\lambda = W_\mu$  on  $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ . Hence there is a vector field  $W$  on  $M$  such that  $W = W_\lambda$  on  $U_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in A$  and that  $D = \text{ad} W|_{\mathcal{T}(M)}$ . Moreover, we have  $W \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ , because  $W_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(U_\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in A$ .

Q. E. D.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}(M, \mathcal{F})$  or  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Then there exists a vector field  $W$  on  $M$  such that  $D = \text{ad} W|_{\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})}$  or  $= \text{ad} W|_{\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})}$  respectively. Moreover,  $W$  is in  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious for the case  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}(M)$ . Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M)$ . The restriction of  $D$  to  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(M)$ . Then the assertion follows from Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.1.

Q. E. D.

Then we get Main Theorem similarly as Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 4.6.** (i) *All derivations of  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$  are inner, that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \text{ad} \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})) = 0.$$

(ii) *The derivation algebra of  $\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \{\text{ad} W|_{\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})}; W \in \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})\} \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Hence*

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}).$$

**4.4. Examples.** Let  $H^1 = H^1(\mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F}); \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) / \mathcal{T}(M, \mathcal{F})$  for a foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ . In many cases,  $H^1$  are of infinite dimension.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Assume that there is a compact leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that there is a saturated neighborhood  $U$  of  $L$ , which is a product foliation  $D^q \times L$ , where  $D^q$  is a  $q$ -dimensional disk. Then,  $H^1$  is of infinite dimension.*

*Proof.* Every leaf in  $U$  is represented by a point of  $D^q$ . Let  $f$  be a function supported in  $D^q$ . Then  $f \cdot \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{L}(M, \mathcal{F})$ . Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 3. 4. Q. E. D.

However,  $H^1$  may be of finite dimension. Assume that  $M$  is compact. J. Leslie [5] gives examples of  $\dim H^1=0$ , or 1: (i) an Anosov flow with an integral invariant for  $\dim H^1=0$ , and (ii) irrational flows on a two dimensional torus  $T^2$  for  $\dim H^1=1$ . We can modify the latter to get a foliated manifold with  $\dim H^1=n$  (for arbitrary  $n < +\infty$ ), that is, irrational flows on an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional torus  $T^{n+1}$ .

We have also other examples. Fukui and Ushiki [2] shows that  $\dim H^1=2$  for the Reeb foliation on a 3-shpere  $S^3$ . Further, Fukui [1] shows that the following: let  $(M, \mathcal{F})$  be a Reeb foliated 3-manifold, then  $\dim H^1$  is finite, and equals to the number of generalized Reeb components.

### References

- [1] Fukui, K., On the homotopy type of some subgroups of  $\text{Diff}(M^3)$ , *Japan. J. Math.*, **2**(1976), 249-267.
- [2] Fukui, K. and Ushiki, S., On the homotopy type of  $\text{FDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$ , *J. Math., Kyoto Univ.*, **15** (1975), 201-210.
- [3] Kanie, Y., Cohomologies of Lie algebras of vector fields with coefficients in adjoint representations; Hamiltonian Case, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **10** (1975), 737-762.
- [4] Kanie, Y., Cohomologies of Lie algebras of algebras of vector fields with coefficients in adjoint representations; Case of Classical Type, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **11** (1975), 213-245.
- [5] Leslie, J., A remark on the group of automorphisms of a foliation having a dense leaf, *J. Differential Geometry*, **7** (1972), 597-601.
- [6] Reeb, G., Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilltées, *Act. Sci. Ind., Hermann, Paris*, **1183** (1952), 83-154.
- [7] Takens, F., Derivations of vector fields, *Compositio Math.*, **26** (1973), 151-158.